

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

SAILESH RANJAN
2015.05.01 09:58
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CEA No.09 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.04.2015

Arvind Maheshwari

....Appellant

Versus

Commissioner Central Excise, Rohtak

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.J.VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA**

Present: Mr.Pankaj Bhatia, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr.Sukhdev Sharma, Advocate, for the respondent.

S.J.Vazifdar, Acting Chief Justice (Oral):

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant seeks leave to withdraw the appeal, in view of the objection on behalf of the respondent that the appeal is not maintainable under Section 35G of the Central Excise Act, 1944 and that an appeal is only maintainable under Section 35L to the Supreme Court.

The appeal is allowed to be withdrawn. The certified copy of the impugned order shall be returned by the office to the appellant, upon the appellant substituting it with a copy, duly authenticated by the Advocate, to be the true copy.

The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn.

**(S.J.Vazifdar)
Acting Chief Justice**

**30.04.2015
sailesh**

**(G.S.Sandhawalia)
Judge**