

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

ARB-73-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 17.07.2015

Atam Parkash Girdhar

...Applicant

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate,
for the applicant.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate,
for the respondents.

* * * *

S.J. VAZIFDAR, A.C.J. (ORAL)

This is an application under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (in short the Act) for the appointment of an arbitrator.

2. There is a dispute as to whether the mandate of the arbitrator has terminated under Section 14 of the Act. In view of the judgement of the Supreme Court in *Lalitkumar V. Sanghavi (D) Th. LRs Neeta Lalit Kumar Sanghavi and another Vs Dharamdas V. Sanghavi and others*, 2014(3) JT 526, such a dispute can only be resolved by the Court.

3. The application under Section 11(6) of the Act is dismissed as not maintainable.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents states that an application will be made by the respondents to the arbitrator to conclude the proceedings as expeditiously as possible fixing a limit of 06 months from the date of the proceedings resuming. The statement is accepted.

**(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

17.07.2015

Amodh