

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**Arbitration Case No.40 of 2015 (O&M)**  
**DATE OF DECISION: 04.09.2015**

M/s Parkash Chand Goyal

....Petitioner

versus

Improvement Trust Sangrur, etc.

.....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

Present: Mr. A.K. Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. B.S. Sidhu, Advocate for respondent No.1

..

**S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE** (Oral):

This is an application under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, for appointment of an Arbitrator.

2. The agreement is admitted. Clause-24 of the General Rules and Directions contains an arbitration clause. The reference is to the Superintending Engineer of the circle of the Buildings and Road Branch of the Public Works Department concerned.

3. Some of the claims have been granted. In respect of several other claims there are disputes.

4. In this case, I do not see any reason to deviate from the arbitration clause, although the appointment has not been made within 30 days as the parties were actively trying to sort out the matter. In fact, in respect of some of the claims the final decision is still pending before the authorities.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is disposed of by appointing the Superintending Engineer of the circle of the

Buildings and Road Branch of the Public Works Department concerned as sole arbitrator. The respondents shall inform the petitioner in writing the name of the concerned Superintending Engineer and the venue of the arbitration.

04.09.2015  
parkash\*

**(S.J. VAZIFDAR)**  
**ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**