

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

KUMAR MANOJ
26/05/2015 10:36
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CP No.29 of 1991

Date of Order: 26.05.2015

Molins Overseas Holdings Ltd

....Petitioner

Versus

MOI Engineering Ltd and Ors.

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: None for the petitioner.
Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J (ORAL)

As per office report, learned counsel for the petitioner Company has been informed of the date of hearing but none has put in appearance on its behalf. The position was not different on the previous date of hearing.

The company petition is dismissed for default of appearance and non-prosecution.

May 26, 2015
manoj

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE