

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH**

* * * *

WRIT PETITION No.24308 OF 2015

—

—
Between:

Allamneni Harish

.. Petitioner

And

The State of Telangana, rep., by its
Principal Secretary for Revenue,
Secretariat, Hyderabad and others

.. Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 04.08.2015

—
SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

- | | |
|---|--------|
| 1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers
may be allowed to see the Judgment? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether the copies of judgment may be
marked to Law Reporters/Journals | Yes/No |
| 3. Whether Their Lordship wish to
see the fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

WRIT PETITION No.24308 OF 2015

ORDER:

Heard.

The grievance of the petitioner is limited. He states that under notice R.C.No.B/703/2015, dated 24.07.2015, the petitioner was required to appear with relevant documents pertaining to Survey No.137 of Velimikatta Village, Thorrur Mandal, Warangal District. The said notice was issued when the 4th respondent was proposing to enquire into the complaint of the 6th respondent. The petitioner submitted a detailed explanation to the said notice on 27.07.2015 along with relevant documents. So far no orders are passed by the 4th respondent. His grievance in the present Writ Petition is that without passing appropriate orders, the 4th respondent is contemplating to dispossess the petitioner and to that extent he seeks a *mandamus* as prayed for.

I am not inclined to accept the apprehension expressed by the petitioner inasmuch as the 4th respondent has already given a notice and the petitioner has submitted his explanation to the same and the 4th respondent is bound to consider the same and pass appropriate orders on the application of the 6th respondent after hearing all the parties.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, disposed of directing the 4th respondent to consider the application of the 6th respondent after hearing all the parties concerned. It is however made clear that till such appropriate order is passed, the petitioner shall not be dispossessed from the subject land. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this Writ Petition shall stand closed.

VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR, J

04.08.2015

KH