

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

* * * *

-

WRIT PETITION No.24445 of 2015

BETWEEN

Y. Subba Reddy and others.

... PETITIONERS

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, I & CAD Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad and others.

...RESPONDENTS

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 24.11.2015

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be No
allowed to see the Judgments?
2. Whether the copies of judgment may be marked No
to Law Reporters/Journals?
3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to see the No
fair copy of the Judgment?

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Government Pleader for Land Acquisition.

2. This writ petition is filed by ten petitioners, who are similarly situated to the petitioner in WP.No.24404 of 2015, disposed of today and covered under the same acquisition proceedings. In this case, however, the application of petitioners, under Section 28-A of the Land Acquisition Act (for short 'the Act'), were filed through a counsel on 15.05.1999 and relates to market value of the structures at Madhavaram village, Vontimitta Mandal, Kadapa District and the details of each petitioner viz. reach number, structure number, type of structure, plinth area and amount are set out in the application in the tabular form.

3. In this case also, the third respondent filed a counter affidavit accepting all the material facts including the pendency of the application of the petitioners under Section 28-A of the Act dated 15.05.1999 and it is also stated that the application of the petitioners will be considered after notice to the petitioners and after verification of genuinity and eligibility and other material.

In view of that, this writ petition is also disposed with similar direction in WP.No.24404 of 2015 dated 24.11.2015, as under:

“In the circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of directing the third

respondent to fix a date of hearing, examine the application, hear the petitioners and pass appropriate orders, as expeditiously as possible and in any case, on or before 31.12.2015.”

As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

November 24, 2015

DSK