

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE U.DURGA PRASAD RAO

CRL.M.P.No.5913 of 2015 in Crl.P.No.5975 of 2015

and

Crl.P.No.5975 of 2015

COMMON ORDER

Heard the *de facto* complainant and his counsel Sri T.Avinash are present. Accused No.1 and his counsel Sri M.A.H.Amjad are present

2. Heard both sides on perused the compromise petition.

3. On the report given by the *de facto* complainant, the police, Reinbazar Police Station, Hyderabad, registered a case in Crime No.199 of 2011 for the offences under Sections 363, 417 and 109 IPC against the accused and the learned VIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad, took cognizance of the same and registered as C.C.No.89 of 2013.

4. Now, the submission of both sides is that at the intervention of elders, the parties have entered into a compromise and hence, permission may be accorded for compounding the offences and quash the proceedings in C.C.No.89 of 2013.

5. Having regard to the above said submission and considering the fact that it is a matrimonial matter and parties have amicably settled their dispute and no purpose will be served even if the parties are driven to trial

and following the decision reported in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**^[1], compromise is recorded in terms of accompanying compromise petition and consequently the proceedings in C.C.No.89 of 2013 on the file of the VIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Hyderabad at Nampally, against the Accused No.1, are hereby quashed.

6. In the result, both the petitions are accordingly allowed. As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

U.DURGA PRASAD RAO, J

27th March 2015.

mar

^[1] (2012 10 SC 303