

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH

* * *

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

WRIT PETITION No. 40128 OF 2015

BETWEEN

G.Venkata Satyanarayana

... PETITIONER

AND

The State of Telangana, rep. by its Principal Secretary and others

...RESPONDENTS

Date of Order pronounced: 10.12.2015

-

ORDER:-

Heard.

2. Petitioner was given a notice by the second respondent asking to appear before him with regard to Survey No.217 in an extent of Ac.3-00 situated at Aswaraopeta Village and Mandal, Khammam District. The proposals for rectification of entries in the Pahani were pending before the second respondent and the notice itself is dated 04.11.2015 in Rc.No.K/119/2015. Petitioner states that he has appeared through his counsel but in order to enable him to submit explanation and no copies of documents were furnished to him in spite of request. The notice itself refers

to a report submitted by the Tahsildar dated 11.05.2015 and according to the petitioner the said enquiry is initiated on the application and at the instance of third parties. Hence the writ petition.

3. I do not see any reason to entertain the writ petition merely against the impugned notice. Petitioner is at liberty to file explanation and if he seeks any report or document available on the file of the second respondent, the second respondent shall direct his office to submit copies of available documents to the petitioner and thereafter give opportunity to the petitioner to submit explanation and then hear and decide the matter after notice to all the parties.

With the above observation, writ petition is disposed of.

As a sequel the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

December 10, 2015

LMV