

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH**

CONTEMPT CASE No.1736 OF 2014

Between:

J.Ram Mohan Rao,
S/o Rama Swamy,
Software Engineer,
H.No.8-12-35, Street No.8,
Brindavan Nagar, Habsiguda,
Hyderabad.

... Petitioner

And

Sri Somesh Kumar,
Commissioner,
GHMC, Tank Bund,
Hyderabad & another.

... Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 31.07.2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

- | | | |
|---|--|--------|
| 1 | Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgments? | Yes/No |
| 2 | Whether the copies of judgment may be marked to Law Reports/Journals | Yes/No |
| 3 | Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

-

-

-

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

CONTEMPT CASE No.1736 of 2014

ORDER:

The order, violation of which is alleged in this Contempt Case, is the order passed in W.P.No.16218 of 2014 dated 20.06.2014 wherein this Court recorded the submission of the learned Standing Counsel for GHMC that a notice, under Section 452(1) of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 (for brevity, 'the Act'), was issued to the third respondent; the third respondent was called upon to show cause why action should not be initiated against her for the illegal construction made by her; her reply, under Section 452 (1) of the Act, is awaited; and the GHMC would take action in accordance with law after giving the third respondent a reasonable opportunity of being heard.

In the counter-affidavit filed by respondents 1 and 2 in the Contempt Case, it is stated that a show cause notice was issued, under Section 452 (2) of the Act, on 09.12.2014 to the third respondent; and, after final notice was issued under Section 636 of the Act, the construction of the third respondent was demolished.

It is evident from the counter-affidavit that the order of this Court has been complied with. The Contempt Case is, accordingly, closed. Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall also stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

RAMESH RANGANATHAN, J

31st July, 2015.

Tsy