

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF
ANDHRA PRADESH**

CONTEMPT CASE No.752 of 2015

Between:

M/s. Weaker Section Mutt and Land Residents Association,
A registered body of association having its
Office at Plot No.55, GG Nagar,
Opp: Upparapally Village Community Hall,
Gollapalli Road, Tirupati, Chittoor District,
Aged about 45 years, Occ: Business, R/o. Plot No.55,
Gollapally Road, Tirupati.

.. Petitioner

AND

Konda Reddy, Father's name not known,
Sub-Registrar, Tirupati Rural,
Tirupati, Chittoor District.

.. Respondent

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 31.07.2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

- | | | |
|---|--|----|
| 1 | Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgments? | No |
| 2 | Whether the copies of judgment may be marked to Law Reports/Journals | No |
| 3 | Whether His Lordship wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment? | No |

-

-

-

-

-

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

CONTEMPT CASE No.752 of 2015

-

ORDER:

W.P.No.38844 of 2013 is disposed of by order, dated 02.01.2014, directing the Sub-Registrar, Rural Tirupathi, Chittoor District, to receive and process the document presented by the 4th petitioner in respect of the land to an extent of Ac. 25.00 cents in Survey Nos.13, 15, 17/2, 17/3 and 17/5 of Avilala Village, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Chittoor District, without reference to letter R.C.No.N1/27520/2009, dated 19.04.2010, of the Commissioner of Endowments. Alleging that the said orders are not complied with, this contempt is filed.

2. The stand of the respondents is that since the petitioner has not presented the document, the question of processing the document and the question of disobeying the orders of this Court does not arise.

3. When the matter is taken up, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the contempt be closed by giving liberty to the petitioner to present the document.

4. Since as seen from the averments, it cannot be said that the respondents committed contempt warranting

initiation of proceedings of contempt, the Contempt Case is closed accordingly. It is needless to observe that it is always open to the petitioner to present the document before the competent authority and as and when the document is presented, the competent authority shall consider the same, in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this contempt case shall stand closed.

P.NAVEEN RAO, J

Date: 31st July, 2015

KL



HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

-
-
-
-

-

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

CONTEMPT CASE No.752 of 2015

-

Date: 31st July, 2015

KL