

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA

PRADESH

* * * *

CASE NO. W.P.No.29336 of 2012

Between:

Smt.A.Nagamani

.. Petitioner

and

The Joint Collector, Kurnool and others

.. Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 13.08.2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

-
-

THE HON’BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

- | | |
|--|--------|
| 1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers
may be allowed to see the Judgments? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether the copies of judgment may be
marked to Law Reporters/Journals? | Yes/No |
| 3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to
see the fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

-
-
-
-
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.29336 of 2012

-
13.08.2015

Between:

Smt.A.Nagamani

.. Petitioner

and

The Joint Collector, Kurnool and others

.. Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner: Mr.J.Janaki Rami Reddy

Counsel for the respondents: Assistant Government
Pleader

for Civil Supplies

(TS)

-
The Court made the following:

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed for a *mandamus* to declare the action of respondent No.1 in not passing interim order in the appeal filed by the petitioner on 14.09.2012 against the order, dated 28.08.2012, of respondent No.2 suspending the petitioner's fair price shop authorization as illegal and arbitrary.

This Court, by order, dated 18.09.2012, found the action of respondent No.2 in suspending the petitioner's authorization as wholly arbitrary and illegal, and even required him to show cause why exemplary costs shall not be imposed and initiation of disciplinary proceedings shall not be recommended against him.

At the hearing, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Civil Supplies (TS) placed before the Court, proceedings, dated 26.11.2012 of respondent No.2, whereby he has revoked the suspension order, dated 28.08.2012, and dropped the charges levelled against the petitioner.

In the light of the above subsequent event, by which respondent No.2 has taken corrective action, the Writ Petition is disposed of as infructuous.

As a sequel to disposal of the writ petition, W.P.M.P.No.37442 of 2012 filed by the petitioner for interim relief shall stand disposed of as infructuous.

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

13th August, 2015

GHN