

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T.SUNIL CHOWDARY

CRIMINAL PETITION No.13994 of 2015

ORDER:

This petition is filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to quash the proceedings against the petitioners/A.1 to A.4 in Crime No.615 of 2015 on the file of the Station House Officer, Narsingi Police Station, Cyberabad, registered for the offences under Section 420 I.P.C. and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Public Prosecutor representing the State.

3. A perusal of the record reveals that the petitioners are accused Nos.1 to 4 and the second respondent is the *de facto* complainant in Crime No.615 of 2015.

4. As per the allegations made in the complaint, petitioner No.1 promised to marry Deepthi, who is the brother's daughter of the second respondent. It is further alleged that the petitioners demanded dowry amount from the family members of Deepthi. The gist of the allegations made in the complaint is that the petitioners cheated Deepthi and her family members.

5. The present complaint is filed by the paternal uncle of Deepthi. The petitioners filed the affidavits purported to have been given by Deepthi and her mother. As per the averments made in the petition, Deepthi is not willing to marry petitioner No.1. If this Court expresses any opinion touching the merits of the main case, the same may cause prejudice to either of parties to the proceedings. Whether Deepthi and her mother have given the affidavits or not will come to light during the course of investigation only.

6. While exercising the inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the Court has to take into consideration the allegations made in the

complaint only. The Court is not justified in embarking upon an enquiry as to probability, reliability or genuineness of the allegations made in the complaint at the initial stage of investigation. The material placed before the Court is *prima facie* sufficient to investigate into the matter in order to ascertain the truthfulness or otherwise of the allegations made in the complaint.

7. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also the principles enunciated by the Supreme Court in **R.P.Kapoor v. State of Punjab**^[1] and **State of Haryana v. Bhajanlal**^[2], I am of the considered view that it is not a fit case to quash the proceedings at the initial stage of the investigation.

8. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the concerned Station House Officer may be directed not to arrest the petitioners till completion of the investigation.

9. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to direct the Station House Officer, Narsingi Police Station, Cyberabad, not to arrest the petitioners/A.1 to A.4 in Crime No.615 of 2015 till completion of the investigation.

10. With the above direction, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

11. Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this Criminal Petition shall stand closed.

-

T.SUNIL CHOWDARY, J

Date: 30.12.2015

lvd

^[1] AIR 1960 SC 866

^[2] AIR 1992 SC 604