

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE U.DURGA PRASAD RAO
Crl.M.P.No.17209 of 2014 in Crl.P.No.11310 of 2014
and
Crl.P.No.11310 of 2014

Common Order:

The de-facto complainant and her counsel Sri C.Raghu are present. Accused and his counsel Sri P.Vishnuvardhan Reddy are present.

Heard both sides.

On the complaint lodged by de-facto complainant the police of Cyber Crime, CCS PS, Hyderabad registered FIR No.128 of 2013 against the accused for the offences under Sections 43 r/w 66, 66(A) (C) (D) ITA Act, 2008 and Section 420 IPC and investigation is stated to be pending.

Now, the submission of both parties is that de-facto complainant and accused are neighbours and they are students and the accused is a friend of brother of de-facto complainant and in view of their acquaintance and at the intervention of elders, parties have amicably settled their disputes and therefore de-facto complainant has no objection for quashment of the proceedings in FIR 128 of 2013. Hence, permission may be accorded to them for this purpose.

Having regard to the above said submission and considering the fact that parties have amicably settled their disputes concerning to FIR No.128 of 2013 and offences alleged in FIR are not grave and heinous one

and no purpose will be served even if the parties are driven to trial, and following the decision reported in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another***^[1] this petition is allowed and permission is accorded and compromise is recorded and consequently the proceedings in FIR No.128 of 2013 of Cyber Crime, CCS PS, Hyderabad are hereby quashed.

In the result, both the petitions are accordingly allowed.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

U.DURGA PRASAD RAO, J

06-02-2015

Murthy

^[1] (2012) 10 SCC 303