

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE U. DURGA PRASAD RAO

Crl.P.M.P.No.2438 of 2015 in Crl.P.No.4778 of 2014

and

Criminal Petition No.4778 of 2014

COMMON ORDER:

The *defacto* complainant and her counsel Sri P. Lakshma Reddy are present. A.1 and A.2 and their Counsel Sri K. Venu Madhav are present.

On the report given by *defacto* complainant, the Police of Cyber Crime Police Station, Hyderabad registered FIR No.21 of 2014 against the accused for the offence under Sec.66(A) of I.T.A. Act, 2008 and investigation is reported to be pending.

While-so, both parties and their counsel present in Court today and submitted that at the intervention of elders, they have amicably resolved their disputes and thereby the defacto complainant has no objection for quashment of the proceedings in Crime No.21 of 2014 on the file of Cyber Crime Police Station, Hyderabad and hence, this Court may be pleased to accord permission to compound the offence and quash the proceedings in the interest of justice.

Having regard to the above said submission of the parties and in view of the fact that both parties are close relatives, they have amicably resolved their disputes and also considering that no useful purpose will be served even if the investigation is ordered to be continued since the parties have compromised and following the decision reported in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another***, this petition is allowed and permission is accorded to the parties to compound the offence and consequently proceedings in Crime No.21 of 2014 on the file of Cyber Crime Police Station, Hyderabad are hereby quashed.

In the result, both the petitions are accordingly allowed.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand

closed.

U. DURGA PRASAD RAO, J

Date: 20.03.2015

SCS