

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A. RAJASHEKER REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.14327 of 2015

ORDER:

Questioning the order dated 20.12.2014 in C.M.A.No.36 of 2010 passed by the 2nd respondent-Additional Agent to Government, Bhadrachalam, Khammam District, the petitioner preferred the present writ petition.

By the aforesaid order, the 2nd respondent, while dismissing the appeal preferred by the petitioner against the ejectment order dated 29.03.2008 in LTR Case No.11/BCM/08 passed by the 3rd respondent- Agency Divisional Officer, Bhadrachalam, directed the 4th respondent-Tahsildar, Bhadrachalam, to lodge a complaint against the petitioner in the concerned police station.

At the hearing, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Social Welfare (T.S.) submits that as against the impugned order, the petitioner has an efficacious alternative remedy of revision under Section 6 of the Andhra Pradesh Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959, and instead of availing the said remedy, the petitioner has straightaway approached this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner did not dispute the availability of remedy of revision to the petitioner, but however, submitted that the 2nd respondent ought not to have directed for lodging of complaint, even before expiry of time for preferring revision.

In the above facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is permitted to avail the remedy of revision under Section 6 of the aforesaid Regulation, within a period of two weeks from today, along with an application seeking interim relief. Till such time, status quo obtaining as on today shall be maintained.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

A. RAJASHEKER REDDY, J

7th May 2015

IBL/VV