

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO.3040 OF 2012

DATED:29-6-2015

Between:

G. Rajasree ... Petitioner

And

Government of Andhra Pradesh  
Rep.by its Principal Secretary  
Agriculture & Cooperation (Coop.I) Department  
Secretariat  
Hyderabad  
and others

... Respondents

... Respondents

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER: Mr. Ch. Janardhan Reddy

COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENTS: A.G.P. for Cooperation (TS)

THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER:

-

This writ petition is filed for the following substantive relief:

*“...to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents 2 & 3 in not sanctioning the rental amounts as mentioned in Lr. Rc. No.818/2002-E, dated 09.01.2011 at Table-I of the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent is illegal, mala fide, against the principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents 2 & 3 to sanction the rental amounts as mentioned in Lr. Rc. No.818/2002-E, dated 09.01.2011 at Table-I of the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent.”*

At the hearing, Mr. Ch. Janardhan Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, has submitted that in pursuance of the interim order granted by this Court, the respondents have released the enhanced rents and therefore the cause in the writ petition does not survive for adjudication.

In the light of the above submission, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

As a sequel to dismissal of the writ petition, W.P.M.P. Nos.3818 and 3819 of 2012 shall stand disposed of as infructuous.

---

C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

29-6-2015

bnr