

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.15884 of 2015

Dated : 17.06.2015

Between:

Kalidindi Venkata Subba Raju,
S/o.Kalidindi Narsa Raju @ Soma Raju,
Aged 62 yrs, R/o.House No.6-153,
Chinna Amiram, Bhimavaram Town,
West Godavari District.

.. Petitioner

And

The State of Telangana,
rep., by its Principal Secretary,
Municipal Administration &
Urban Development Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad & 4 others

.. Respondents

This Court made the following :

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.15884 of 2015

-
ORDER :

When the matter is taken up learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned standing counsel representing Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, states that the subject matter of the writ petition is covered by the decision of this Court in W.P.No.2454 of 2015.

2. Concerning the same issue, in W.P.No.8691 of 2008, the learned Single Judge, has taken into consideration a Judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in W.P.No.3973 of 2007 and batch, and the judgment of learned Single Judge in W.P.No.12861 of 2007. The operative portion of W.P.No.8691 of 2008 order reads as under :

“ Therefore, in the absence of any legal bar for granting building permission subject to the petitioner satisfying the requirements of Section 428 of the Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955, the petitioner is entitled to grant of building permission. However, in the event of LGC case, which is pending before the Special Court, being decided against him, the petitioner shall not claim equities or compensation for cost of the building constructed by him. The respondents shall accordingly consider the application of the petitioner for granting building permission.”

3. In view of the direction contained in the order passed by the learned Single Judge, striking a balance between both the sides, it becomes appropriate for the Corporation to consider the application of the petitioner also, subject to his satisfying the requirements of law and in particular that of Sections 428, 429 and 431 of the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations Act, 1955 and subject to his giving an undertaking to the 2nd respondent – Corporation that he will not claim any equities in the matter in the event the land grabbing case, which is pending before the Special Court, is decided against him, at any later point of time. Accordingly, the application submitted by the petitioner may be taken up for consideration and appropriate orders there on be passed within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

4. With the above observations, this writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

P.NAVEEN RAO,J

17th June, 2015
Rds

