

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

* * * *

WRIT PETITION No.23295 OF 2015

—
—

Between:

Boya Lakshmi and others.

.. Petitioners

And

The State of Telangana and others.

.. Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 04-08-2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR

- | | |
|--|--------|
| 1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgment? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether the copies of judgment may be marked to Law Reporters/Journals | Yes/No |
| 3. Whether Their Lordship wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR

W.P.No.23295 of 2015

ORDER:

Heard.

The petitioners only grievance is that their appeal filed before the 2nd respondent on 01-06-2015 against the proceedings of the 3rd respondent in Lr.No.A/605/2015, dated 11-05-2015 in respect of the land admeasuring Ac.2-00 gts., in Sy.No.492 of Macharla Village, Ghattu Mandal, Mahaboobnagar District, is required to be heard and disposed of expeditiously. One of the contentions raised by the petitioners is that the 3rd respondent passed order, dated 11-05-2015, without conducting any enquiry and without following the due procedure prescribed under Sections 3 and 4 of the A.P.Assigned Lands (Prohibition of Transfers) Act, 1977.

The order of the 3rd respondent cancelling the assignment made in favour of the petitioner for not fulfilling the conditions of assignment is a matter requires examination by the appellant authority. Since the petitioners appeal is pending from 01-06-2015, it cannot be said that hearing of the appeal is unreasonably delayed. However, the 2nd respondent shall take expeditious steps and fix an early date of hearing and decide the said appeal at the earliest. In the meanwhile, if the petitioner intends to seek any interim relief, he is at liberty to approach the 2nd respondent and seek such interim relief. If hearing and disposal of the appeal expeditiously is not possible, the 2nd respondent shall consider the petitioner's request for interim relief and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR, J

Date: 04-08-2015

Prv

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR

W.P.No.23295 of 2015

-
04-08-2015

Prv