

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE U. DURGA PRASAD RAO

Crl.P.M.P.No.1804 of 2015 in Crl.P.No.1665 of 2015

and

Criminal Petition No.1665 of 2015

COMMON ORDER:

The *defacto* complainant and her Counsel Sri S. Janardhan Goud are present. A.2 to A.5 and their Counsel Sri P. Animi Reddy are present. A.1—Pujari Vikesh reported died on 03.12.2014.

Heard both sides and perused the petition.

On the report given by *defacto* complainant, the Police of Women P.S, C.C.S, Hyderabad registered Crime No.461 of 2013 against accused and after investigation laid charge sheet for the offences under Sec.498-A, 406 and Sec.4 of Dowry Prohibition Act and learned XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, at Hyderabad took cognizance of the offences and registered as C.C.No.398 of 2014.

While-so, the parties and their Counsel present before this Court today and submitted that at the intervention of elders, they have amicably resolved their disputes and thereby the *defacto* complainant has no objection for quashment of the proceedings against the accused and hence, the Court may be pleased to permit them to compound the offence and quash the proceedings in the interest of justice.

Having regard to the above said submission and in view of the fact that it is matrimonial matter wherein the parties have amicably resolved their disputes and want to live a harmonious life and no useful purpose will be served even if they are driven to trial and following the decision reported in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another***^[1], this petition is allowed and permission is accorded to the parties and consequently proceedings in C.C.No.398 of 2014 on the file of XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, at Hyderabad are hereby quashed as per the compromise memo filed by the parties.

In the result, both the petitions are accordingly allowed.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

U.DURGA PRASAD RAO, J

Date: 04.03.2015

SCS

^[1] (2012) 10 SCC 303