

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY THIS THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIFTEEN

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.RAMALINGESWARA RAO

-

WRIT PETITION No.33906 of 2015

Between:

M/s.Sri Chimanlal Paper Mills,

Hyderabad, rep.by its Proprietor Rajendra Kumar Agarwal

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Southern Power Distribution Company of

Telangana Limited,

Rep.by its Chairman & Managing Director

Mint Compound, Hyderabad and 2 others

.....RESPONDENTS

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAMALINGESWARA RAO

WRIT PETITION No.33906 of 2015

-

ORDER:

-

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Aggrieved by the action of the respondents in demanding additional consumption deposit from the petitioner, the present writ petition is filed.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents that the subject matter of this writ petition is squarely covered by a common order of this Court in W.P.No.37713 of 2014 and batch dated 26.08.2015. In the said order, it was directed that the petitioners shall

pay half of the additional consumption deposit amount demanded by the respondents. But, in the instant case, the petitioner paid more than half of the additional consumption deposit i.e. Rs.29,00,738/- before 01.06.2015. In addition that, the petitioner also paid an amount of Rs.3,75,000/- towards payment of additional consumption charges. The said amounts have to be adjusted in the future consumption charges.

In the circumstances, this writ petition is also disposed of in accordance with and in terms of the order in W.P.No.37713 of 2014 and batch dated 26.08.2015 with a further direction to the respondents to adjust the amounts above 50% of the additional consumption deposit paid by the petitioner, in the future consumption charges of the petitioner.

Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed in consequence.

A.RAMALINGESWARA RAO,J

Date: 13.10.2015

Dsr