

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

* * * *

WRIT PETITION No.12156 OF 2012

Between:

Chawan Rajeshwar @ Raju.

.. Petitioner

And

The Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Department of Home,

Represented by its Principal Secretary,

Secretariat, Hyderabad and others.

.. Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 13-08-2015

-

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers Yes/No
may be allowed to see the Judgment?

2. Whether the copies of judgment may be Yes/No

marked to Law Reporters/Journals

3. Whether Their Lordship wish to

see the fair copy of the Judgment? Yes/No

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

-

WRIT PETITION No.12156 of 2012

ORDER:

The grievance of the petitioner in this case was that the police authorities, having seized certain articles, did not deposit the same before the competent Court. He therefore sought a consequential direction to them to release the said articles.

The Inspector of Police, WCO-Team-II, Central Crime Station, Hyderabad, filed a counter-affidavit stating that one Naveen Krishna filed a complaint before the Saifabad Police Station, Hyderabad, alleging that M/s. Sri Sri Marketing Private Limited, Hyderabad, collected an amount of Rs.1,00,000/- from him but thereafter the Managing Director of the said company absconded and the office was closed. Upon the said complaint, Crime No.223 of 2010 was registered under Sections 406 and 420 I.P.C., read with Section 5 of the Andhra Pradesh Protection of Depositors of Financial Establishments Act, 1999. The case was thereafter transferred to the

Central Crime Station, Hyderabad, and was re-registered as Crime No.118 of 2010. In the context of the investigation undertaken in the said crime, the police authorities seized Bank Fixed Deposit Receipts, Post Office Deposit Receipts and original documents relating to immovable properties at Zaheerabad, Sangareddy, Jogipet and Mehaboob Maqta of Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy District. All the seized movable properties were stated to have been deposited before the learned Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad, vide P.I.Nos.22 and 23 of 2012 on 04.05.2012. A charge sheet was also said to have been laid before the said Court vide SR.No.4222 of 2012 dated 08.05.2012.

In the light of the aforestated facts, it is clear that the grievance of the petitioner that the police failed to deposit the seized articles before the competent Court is without basis. As the said articles have been placed before the competent criminal Court and a charge sheet was also stated to have been filed, no interference is warranted in this writ petition.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

Pending Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, shall also stand dismissed. No order as to costs.

SANJAY KUMAR, J

Date:13.08.2015

GJ