

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF
ANDHRA PRADESH**

**MONDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF DECEMBER TWO
THOUSAND AND FIFTEEN**

–
Present

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

–
WRIT PETITION No.39502 of 2015

Between:

Katakam Mahesh,
S/o. K.R. Subramanyam,
Aged 28 years,
H.No.1-10-28/132, PL.No.175-B,
Road No.4, Nagarjuna Nagar Colony,
Kushaiguda, ECIL (PO),
Hyderabad – 500 062.

.. Petitioner

AND

Rajiv Gandhi University of Knowledge Technologies,
Rep. by its Vice Chancellor,
Ground Floor, Vindhya C4 Buildings,
Campus of IIIT-H, Gachibowli,
Hyderabad – 500 032 & 3 others

.. Respondents

WRIT PETITION No.39505 of 2015

Between:

B.V. Sudhakar Reddy,
S/o. B.V. Krishna Reddy, Aged 30 years,
B2-F4, Faculty Quarters,
Rajiv Gandhi University of Knowledge Technologies,
R.K. Valley Campus,
Y.S.R. Kadapa District – 516 329.

.. Petitioner

AND

Rajiv Gandhi University of Knowledge Technologies,
Rep. by its Vice Chancellor,
Ground Floor, Vindhya C4 Buildings,
Campus of IIIT-H, Gachibowli,
Hyderabad – 500 032 & 3 others

.. Respondents

WRIT PETITION No.39515 of 2015

Between:

Shekhar Sheelam,
S/o. Krishnaiah, Aged 30 years,
Room No.301, 2 BR Old Faculty Quarters,
Rajiv Gandhi University of Knowledge Technologies,
Basar Campus, Basar Village,
Adilabad District – 504 107.

.. Petitioner

AND

Rajiv Gandhi University of Knowledge Technologies,
Rep. by its Vice Chancellor,
Ground Floor, Vindhya C4 Buildings,
Campus of IIIT-H, Gachibowli,
Hyderabad – 500 032 & 3 others

.. Respondents

WRIT PETITION No.39525 of 2015

Between:

Daundkar Ramesh Kailas,
S/o. Daundkar Kailas Yedhu,
Aged 28 years,
At Post. Shelpimpalgaon, Tal-Khed,
Shelpimpalgaon, Pune,
Maharashtra – 410 501.

.. Petitioner

AND

Rajiv Gandhi University of Knowledge Technologies,
Rep. by its Vice Chancellor,
Ground Floor, Vindhya C4 Buildings,
Campus of IIIT-H, Gachibowli,
Hyderabad – 500 032 & 3 others

.. Respondents

WRIT PETITION No.39527 of 2015

Between:

B. Imran Shareef,
S/o. S. Buddan Saheb,
Aged 27 years,
D.No.C1-T4, Faculty Quarter,
Rajiv Gandhi University of
Knowledge Technologies,
R.K. Valley, Vempalley,
Kadapa – 516 329.

.. Petitioner

AND

Rajiv Gandhi University of Knowledge Technologies,
Rep. by its Vice Chancellor,
Ground Floor, Vindhya C4 Buildings,
Campus of IIIT-H, Gachibowli,
Hyderabad – 500 032 & 3 others

.. Respondents

-

WRIT PETITION No.39545 of 2015

Between:

Ch. Vasu,
S/o. Ch. Sanyasi Rao, Aged 25 years,
D.No.13-8-1/2, B.C. Road,
Gajuwaka, Visakhapatnam.

.. Petitioner

AND

Rajiv Gandhi University of Knowledge Technologies,
Rep. by its Vice Chancellor,
Ground Floor, Vindhya C4 Buildings,
Campus of IIIT-H, Gachibowli,
Hyderabad – 500 032 & 3 others

.. Respondents

-

WRIT PETITION No.39640 of 2015

Between:

Rayala Upender Rao,
S/o. Ayodhya, Aged 28 years,
Marrigudem Village,
Kothalingala Post,
Khammam District – 507 182.

.. Petitioner

AND

Rajiv Gandhi University of Knowledge Technologies,
Rep. by its Vice Chancellor,
Ground Floor, Vindhya C4 Buildings,
Campus of IIIT-H, Gachibowli,
Hyderabad – 500 032 & 3 others

.. Respondents

The Court made the following:

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

**WRIT PETITION Nos.39502, 39505, 39515, 39525, 39527, 39545
& 39640 of 2015**

–

COMMON ORDER:

Notification No.2 of 2013 was issued calling for applications for enlistment to various categories of posts in the respondent University including posts of Assistant Professors in several disciplines. The petitioners came out successfully in the selections conducted and, accordingly, by orders, dated 26.10.2013, they were issued appointment orders. These appointment orders were kept in abeyance by orders of the competent authority, dated 28.10.2013. The said abeyance orders were earlier challenged before this Court in W.P.No.6006 of 2014. This Court passed interim orders. However, during the pendency of the said writ petition, the Governing Council has taken a decision to cancel the entire selection in pursuant to the Advertisement No.2 of 2013. The petitioners challenge the decision of the Governing Council cancelling the entire selection in

pursuant to the Advertisement No.2 of 2013.

2. In W.P.No.5107 of 2015 & batch, the decision of the Governing Council of the respondent University cancelling the entire selection is challenged. This Court, by judgment, dated 13.11.2015, allowed the writ petitions. This Court held as under:

“20. Having gone through the contentions urged in the counter affidavit, I am of the view that the irregularities pointed out are not material and the selection process is in accordance with the notification issued for the said posts. As already said, absolutely there is no malpractice, manipulation or fraud in the process of selection. If that is so, the entire select list cannot be cancelled to the detriment of the meritorious candidates. This is not a case wherein it is not possible to segregate the properly selected candidates from improperly selected candidates even by following the rule of reservation. On the pretext that some mistakes occurred in the process of selection, the entire selection process shall not be annulled. As pointed out by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the judgments referred supra, if the selection process is tainted with corrupt practices, manipulations and fraud, then only the entire selection can be cancelled. In the instant case, absolutely, even according to the respondents, there are no corrupt practices, manipulations or fraud played in the process of selection. Most of the petitioners are working as contract lecturers in the respondents-University. If they are selected fairly in accordance with the norms indicated in the notification, they cannot be denied appointment on the grounds viz., rule of reservation has not been followed, no cut-off marks were prescribed, some ineligible candidates were given appointment etc. The counter affidavit does not mention about any specific irregularities candidate-wise. Apparently, it seems that the basis for cancellation of the select list is nothing but the Note issued by the then Deputy Chief Minister of the erstwhile State of Andhra Pradesh and the fax message sent by the State Government. No specific irregularity has been pointed out by the Sub Committee appointed by the Governing Council of the respondents-University. Since the petitioners were given appointment orders, they shall be absorbed in the said posts unless it is shown that any taint, malpractice or fraud is attached to their

selection or that they were not properly selected for their respective posts.

21. In view of what all stated herein above, the resolution of the Governing Council of the respondents-University cancelling the entire selection process in pursuance of Advertisement No.2/2013 is declared as illegal and it is set aside. Consequently, the respondents are directed to examine the select list thoroughly, apply the rule of reservation and segregate the candidates who are improperly selected from the properly selected candidates and appoint the petitioners according to their merit and by applying the rule of reservation pursuant to their respective appointment orders. With the above directions, all the writ petitions are disposed of. The miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in these writ petitions shall stand closed. No costs.”

3. When the matters are taken up, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned Assistant Government Pleader, learned Standing Counsel representing the respondent University have stated that the subject matters of the writ petitions are covered by the decision of this Court in W.P.No.5107 of 2015 and batch.

4. Having regard to the same and following the earlier decision of this Court, the Writ Petitions are disposed of. The cancellation of the entire selection process in pursuant to the Advertisement No.2 of 2013 is declared as illegal and it is set aside. Consequently, the respondents are directed to examine the select list thoroughly, apply the rule of reservation and segregate the candidates who are improperly selected from the properly selected candidates and appoint the petitioners according to their merit and by applying the rule of reservation pursuant to their respective appointment orders. There shall be no order as to costs. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

P.NAVEEN RAO, J

Date: 7th December, 2015
KL



HON’BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

-
-
-
-

-
-
-
-
-
-

-
-
-
-

-

-
-
-
-
-
-

-

-
WRIT PETITION Nos.39502, 39505, 39515, 39525, 39527, 39545
& 39640 of 2015

-

Date: 7th December, 2015

KL