

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIFTEEN

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

WRIT PETITION No.519 of 2015

BETWEEN

G.Narender Reddy and others

... PETITIONERS

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary (Department of Home), Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad and others.

...RESPONDENTS

The Court made the following:

-
-

ORDER:

Heard.

2. This writ petition is filed alleging that petitioners are being harassed by respondent No.3, though there is no criminal case registered against any of them. It is alleged that on 10.01.2015, respondent No.1 along with his staff visited petitioners' house and enquired about petitioner No.1 and having not found petitioner No.1, he shouted at petitioner No.2 and other family members and threatened them.

3. Learned Government Pleader, on instructions, states that the wife of petitioner No.1 filed a private complaint before Judicial Magistrate of First

Class, Medak at Narsapur against the petitioners, which was directed to be investigated. Accordingly, the Station House Officer, Bollaram has registered a case in Crime No.7 of 2015 for the offences under Sections 498A, 120B, 307, 504, 506 r/w 34 and Section 3 and 4 of D.P.Act on 17.01.2015 and the same is pending investigation. During the course of investigation, the complainant was examined and some other witnesses are yet to be examined and material evidence has to be collected.

4. Since the crime aforesaid is registered against the petitioner No.1, it cannot be said that respondent No.3 is acting against the petitioners without any reason and without any authority. Respondent No.3, therefore, is free to investigate the said crime and take appropriate steps in accordance with law. Petitioners are also at liberty to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, if they are so advised.

With the above direction, writ petition is disposed of. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

January 28, 2015
LMV