

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD]
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

WRIT PETITION No. 22269 OF 2015

Between:

M/s. Surana Telecom and Powers Ltd., 5th floor, Surya Towers, S.P
Road, Secunderabad – 500 003, rep., by its Manager-Imports
Mr. T.R. Venkataramanan

... Petitioner

And

State of Telangana, Department of Panchayat Raj and Rural
Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad, rep., by its Principal Secretary
and two others

... Respondents

DATE OF JUDGEMENT PRONOUNCED: 21-07-2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE DILIP B. BHOSALE
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.V. BHATT

- | | |
|--|--------|
| 1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers
may be allowed to see the Judgment? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether the copies of judgment may be
marked to Law Reporters/Journals? | Yes/No |
| 3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to
see the fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE DILIP B. BHOSALE
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.V. BHATT
-
WRIT PETITION No. 22269 OF 2015

PC: (per the Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice Dilip B. Bhosale)

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This writ petition impugns the notice dated 30-04-2015 and the order dated 11-06-2015 passed by the 2nd respondent-revisional authority, whereby the petitioner's revision has been rejected.

We have perused the order dated 11-06-2015. In our prima facie opinion, the order is not a speaking order dealing with all the contentions raised by the petitioner. More over, in the representation, in the form of revision, the petitioner did not raise all the contentions as raised in the instant writ petition.

Keeping that in view and considering over all facts and circumstances of the case, we are satisfied that this writ petition can be conveniently disposed of at this stage. Leaned counsel for the parties are also not against passing of the following order:

"The order dated 11-06-2015 passed by the revisional authority (annexure P-1) is set aside. The petitioner is allowed to file additional grounds for seeking revision of the assessment within a period of two weeks from today. The revisional authority shall consider the petitioners representation/revision along with additional grounds for seeking revision, on merits in accordance with law and after giving an

opportunity of being heard to the petitioner, within a period of two months from today. All contentions of the parties are kept open.”

With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, also stand disposed of.

DILIP B. BHOSALE, ACJ

S.V. BHATT, J

21-07-2015
ks