

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIFTEEN

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

WRIT PETITION No. 6031 of 2015

BETWEEN

Saraswathi Vidya Peetam, rep. by its Secretary and others

... PETITIONERS

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary (Department of Higher Education), A.P. Secretariat Building, Hyderabad and others.

...RESPONDENTS

The Court made the following:

-
-

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners; learned standing counsel for higher education; and Mr.A.Abhishek Reddy, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.3.

2. The issue involved in this writ petition is identical to the one considered by this court in W.P.No.33341 of 2014 and batch, dated 07.11.2014. In the present writ petition also the list of students admitted by the petitioners-institution under lateral entry category through the management quota was not approved by the Convenor only on the ground that they belong to other state.

3. Since the rejection on that ground was held to be not sustainable, this writ petition also be governed by the identical order as in the batch aforesaid.

4. Following the order in W.P.No.33341 of 2014 and batch, dated 07.11.2014, this writ petition is also disposed of. Operative portion whereof, is as follows:

“In view of the same, these writ petitions are allowed in terms of W.P.No.32797 of 2014 and batch, dated 31.10.2014, which are as follows:

“The impugned proceedings so far as they relate to rejection of candidature of students admitted by the petitioners respectively only on the ground that they belong to other states shall stand set aside subject to the condition that the admitted students are eligible for admission and qualified for that. The Convenor shall, therefore, re-examine the matter and pass appropriate orders expeditiously preferably within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, in the light of directions of this Court, referred to above.”

As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

March 11, 2015
Lmv