

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR
WRIT PETITION No.11559 OF 2015

-

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Revenue.

The petitioner questions the action of the Sub-Registrar, Kanchikacherla Mandal, Krishna District, the 2nd respondent, in not receiving the sale deed for registration.

The case of the petitioner is that he approached the 2nd respondent to register his document, but the 2nd respondent refused to receive the same assigning reasons that the land admeasuring Ac.0.50 cents in Survey No.211/2A of Ganiathkur Village, Kanchikacherla Mandal, Krishna District, is under prohibited list given by the Revenue Authorities of Kanchikacherla Mandal, Krishna District.

The issue involved in this Writ Petition is squarely covered by the decision of a Division Bench of this Court in W.A.No.1052 of 2012 and batch, dated 09.07.2013 and the operative portion reads as follows:

“A perusal of the guidelines of the scheme, which are relied upon by the appellants’ counsel, does not contain any clause prohibiting alienation of the land provided under the scheme. It is not even the case of respondent Nos.4 and 5 that there is any prohibition imposed subsequent to the formulation of the scheme. If the object of the scheme is to provide agricultural land to the beneficiaries with an intention to provide permanent income generating asset, the authorities ought to have taken steps at the time of formulating the scheme itself by mentioning some clauses of prohibition of alienation of the lands provided under the scheme. Admittedly, there is no such condition of prohibition imposed even by this date. In the absence of such prohibition, the

beneficiaries are entitled to sell the land. Hence, we are unable to accept the said contention of the learned counsel for respondent Nos.4 and 5-appellants herein.

In the above circumstances, we are of the considered view that the learned single Judge, while following the orders of the Division Bench of this Court, has rightly directed respondent No.3-Sub-Registrar to register the documents presented by the petitioners without treating that Section 22-A of the Act applies to the said land. We see no reason to interfere with the impugned orders.

Accordingly, all the writ appeals are dismissed.”

The said Division Bench decision in turn had followed orders of another Division Bench in W.A.No.185 of 2012 and batch, dated 15.02.2012. Based on the decisions of the Division Bench, several other Writ Petitions, being W.P.Nos.21322 and 21316 of 2012, dated 13.07.2012, with identical grievance were also disposed of.

In view of the same, merely on the reasons assigned by the Tahsildar, the registering authorities cannot stop the registrations. Therefore, there shall be similar direction in this Writ Petition also.

Accordingly, the 2nd respondent is directed to receive and process the document presented by the petitioner in compliance with the Indian Stamp Act and the Registration Act and register and release the same in favour of the claimant, if it is found otherwise.

With the above direction, the Writ Petition is allowed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this Writ Petition shall stand closed.

VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR, J

31.12.2015

KH

