

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

* * * *

-

WRIT PETITION No.35986 of 2015

BETWEEN

Mullapudi Veera Venkata Satyanarayana and another.

... PETITIONERS

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad and others.

...RESPONDENTS

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 18.11.2015

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be No
allowed to see the Judgments?
2. Whether the copies of judgment may be marked No
to Law Reporters/Journals?
3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to see the No
fair copy of the Judgment?

-

-
-
-

ORDER:

Petitioners seek deletion of their lands in Sy.Nos.39/2 and 5A of Madhurawada village, Visakhapatnam from the list of prohibited lands notified under Section 22-A of the Registration Act. Petitioners state that the mistake appears to have been happened by taking into consideration the acquired lands, which are different from the lands of the petitioners. However, in spite of the survey conducted by the fifth respondent, which shows that the lands of the petitioners are not covered by earlier land acquisition proceedings, the respondents have erroneously included their lands in the list of prohibited lands. Accordingly, petitioners made a request before the Tahsildar, Visakhapatnam Rural Mandal, who had sent a report to the Revenue Divisional Officer recommending deletion of lands of the petitioners. The Revenue Divisional Officer, in turn, has further examined and recommended to the District Collector to delete the said lands under his proceedings Rc.No.7373/2015/A dated 06.08.2015. Thereafter, as no decision has been taken, the petitioners have moved the present writ petition.

2. Learned Government Pleader is unable to get instructions on the further progress in the matter in spite of granting time.

3. Hence, it is just and appropriate to direct the District Collector/third respondent to consider the aforesaid recommendations of respondents 4 and 5 and after verifying the records, take an appropriate decision with regard to the request of the petitioners for deletion of their lands by passing necessary orders at an early date.

The writ petition is disposed of. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

November 18, 2015

DSK