

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIFTEEN

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

WRIT PETITION No.8326 of 2015

BETWEEN

A. Yadamma and two others.

... PETITIONERS

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep. by Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat,
Hyderabad and others.

...RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR. B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME (TG)

The Court made the following:

-

-

ORDER:

Petitioner No.1 is the second wife and petitioners 2 and 3 are children of one Late A. Narsaiah. Petitioners claim that a Will was executed in favour of petitioner No.1 by the deceased A. Narsaiah. Petitioners have filed the present writ petition questioning the action of the third respondent in summoning them to the police station and pressurizing them to compromise the matter with respondents 4 and 5 without registering any FIR.

2. Instructions of the learned Assistant Government Pleader show that fourth respondent herein approached the third respondent and lodged a complaint on 29.03.2015 against the petitioners, which was noted in the General Diary and after conducting preliminary enquiry, it was noticed that the dispute between the parties is purely of civil nature. Hence, the third respondent has not taken any further action but has advised the complainant to go the appropriate civil Court.

The allegation of the petitioners regarding pressurizing them to settle the matter etc. is denied.

3. In view of the fact that, after the preliminary enquiry, no action is taken by the third respondent but only advised the parties to approach the civil Court, nothing further survives for consideration in the writ petition.

The writ petition is disposed of. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

April 6, 2015

DSK