

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

* * * *

**WRIT PETITION Nos.23492, 23522, 23666 and
23715 of 2015**

Between:

L.Nageswara Naik and others.

....Petitioners

and

The State of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep.by Principal Secretary,
Civil Supplies Department,
Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
A.P.Secretariat Building,
At Hyderabad,
And others.

....Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 19.08.2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAMALINGESWARA RAO

- | | | |
|----|---|--------|
| 1. | Whether Reporters of Local newspapers
may be allowed to see the Judgments? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the copies of judgment may be
Marked to Law Reporters/Journals? | Yes/No |
| 3. | Whether His Lordship wishes to
see the fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAMALINGESWARA RAO

-
**WRIT PETITION Nos.23492, 23522, 23666 and
23715 of 2015**

-
COMMON ORDER:

The petitioners are fair price shop dealers of Gurajala and Veldurthi Mandals and they were issued show cause notices initially to which they submitted their explanation in respect of irregularities alleged against them. Thereafter, their authorizations were suspended by orders dated 27.06.2015

and 20.04.2015 pending further orders. The said orders are challenged in the present batch of Writ Petitions.

In view of the pending enquiry before the fourth respondent, this Court is not inclined to go into the merits of the case at this stage. However, the fourth respondent is directed to complete the enquiry by giving due opportunity to the petitioners, within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, as the petitioners have already submitted their explanations. It is needless to mention that the petitioners shall be given liberty to peruse the record during the course of enquiry, and the proposed orders of the fourth respondent shall contain reasons.

The Writ Petitions are, accordingly, disposed of. The miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

(A.RAMALINGESWARA RAO, J)

19.08.2015

vs