

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH**

**THURSDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF NOVEMBER TWO THOUSAND AND
FIFTEEN**

Present

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

-

WRIT PETITION No.36089 of 2015

Between:

Pullela Venkata Satya Surya Srinivas Rao,
S/o. Late P. Seetarama Rao,
Aged 50 years, Occ: (Vocal Artist Grade-I),
Hindu Dharma Prachara Parishad,
Programme Co-Ordinator,
Adilabad Center, T.T.D. Kalyanamandapam,
Adilabad District, Telangana 504 001.

.. Petitioner

AND

The State of A.P.,
Rep. by Principal Secretary,
Revenue Endowments Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad & 3 others

.. Respondents

The Court made the following:

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

HON’BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.36089 of 2015

-

ORDER:

The petitioner is an employee of Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams. Disciplinary proceedings initiated against the petitioner resulted in imposing of punishment of withholding of three annual grade increments with cumulative effect. Aggrieved by the said order of punishment imposed by the disciplinary authority, the petitioner filed appeal under Section 120(1) of the Andhra Pradesh Charitable and Hindu Religious Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987 (for short, ‘Act 30/87’) before the Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams Board. The said appeal was considered and accepted the appeal to a great extent by reducing the punishment imposed to that of one increment without cumulative effect. However, aggrieved by the said order, the petitioner preferred appeal under Section 120(ii) of Act 30/87 before the 1st respondent. According to the party-

in-person, the said appeal is pending consideration of the 1st respondent and so far, no orders are passed on the appeal. Aggrieved by the inaction of the authorities in not considering the appeal, this writ petition is filed.

2. Heard party-in-person and Sri Y.V. Ravi Prasad, learned Standing Counsel representing the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams for respondents 2 to 4.

3. Having regard to the claim of the petitioner that the appeal preferred by him under Section 120(ii) of Act 30/87 is pending consideration of the first respondent and so far, no orders are passed, the Writ Petition is disposed of, without expressing any opinion on merits, directing the 1st respondent to pass orders on the appeal preferred by the petitioner, if the same is not disposed of, within a period of six (6) weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and the decision to that extent shall be communicated to the petitioner. If the petitioner is aggrieved by any adverse decision by the 1st respondent, it is open to the petitioner to work out his remedies. There shall be no order as to costs. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

P.NAVEEN RAO, J

Date: 5th November, 2015

KL

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

-

WRIT PETITION No.36089 of 2015

-

Date: 5th November, 2015

KL