

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

* * * *

-

WRIT PETITION No.24087 of 2013

BETWEEN

Smt. Sripathi Seshamma and another.

... PETITIONERS

AND

The Secretary to Government, Revenue (LA) Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Secretariat, Hyderabad and another.

...RESPONDENTS

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 17.11.2015

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be No
allowed to see the Judgments?
2. Whether the copies of judgment may be marked No
to Law Reporters/Journals?
3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to see the No
fair copy of the Judgment?

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

Heard.

2. Petitioners are stated to be legal heirs of one Sripathi Venkateshwarlu, whose land is stated to have been acquired for the Nagarjuna Sagar Canal Project in the year 1971 whereupon compensation was determined under Award dated 30.03.1971, which was later subject matter of enhancement under OP.No.122 of 1972 by the civil Court. It is stated that though the land owner received entire compensation including enhanced compensation, stating that the entire land was not utilized and left idle, he made repeated representations from 1981 to 1995 seeking re-conveyance. The land owner filed WP.No.15673 of 1995 alleging inaction in considering his representations and the said writ petition was disposed of on 26.04.1996 directing the respondents to consider and pass appropriate orders on the representation of the petitioner therein within three months. The grievance of the petitioners is that no order whatsoever has been passed in spite of the petitioners herein sending legal notice dated 19.03.2013. Hence, the present writ petition is filed seeking consideration of the representation.

3. No counter affidavit is filed so far and the order of this Court, referred to above, remained uncomplied. Hence, respondents are directed to consider the request of the petitioners in terms of the legal notice dated 19.03.2013 and take appropriate decision, if not already taken, in the matter in accordance with the directions in the earlier writ petition, referred to above, within a period of two (2) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is disposed of. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

November 17, 2015

DSK