

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH

* * * *

CASE NO. **W.P.Nos.9464, 11165, 11167, 11170, 11173, 18582, 20206, 21985, 21989, 31101, 31152, 31166 of 2010, 967, 7150, 15755, 28549 of 2011 and 7026, 12251, 12418, 12800 and 27351 of 2012**

Between:

Megha Engineering & Infrastructure Limited, Hyderabad and others

.. Petitioners

and

The Government of Andhra Pradesh,
represented by its Principal Secretary, Hyderabad and others

.. Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 12.08.2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

-
-

THE HON’BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

- | | |
|---|--------|
| 1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgments? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether the copies of judgment may be marked to Law Reporters/Journals? | Yes/No |
| 3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

-
-
-
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

W.P.Nos.9464, 11165, 11167, 11170, 11173, 18582, 20206, 21985, 21989, 31101,
31152, 31166 of 2010, 967, 7150, 15755, 28549 of 2011 and 7026, 12251, 12418,
12800 and 27351 of 2012

-
12.08.2015

W.P.No.9464 of 2010:

Between:

Megha Engineering & Infrastructure Limited, Hyderabad

.. Petitioner

and

The Government of Andhra Pradesh,
represented by its Principal Secretary, Hyderabad and others

.. Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner: Mr. K.V.Subba Reddy

Counsel for respondent No.1: Government Pleader
for Industries and Commerce

Counsel for respondent No.2: Government Pleader
for Mines and Geology

Counsel for respondent Nos.3 and 6: Government
Pleader

for Finance and Planning

Counsel for respondent Nos.4 and 5: Government
Pleader

for Irrigation and Command Area Development

-
The Court made the following:

COMMON ORDER:

This batch of writ petitions is filed aggrieved by the levy of one time penalty on the seigniorage charges stated to have been deducted by the respective departments from the respective bills of the petitioners under the contracts only on the ground that valid permits for transportation and utilization of sand for such contract works were not procured/produced by the petitioners.

At the hearing, it is agreed among the learned counsel for the parties that on 29.07.2015, this Court disposed of a batch of Writ Petitions, viz., W.P.No.1426 of 2012 and batch, following its earlier order, dated 15.11.2012, in W.P.No.17998 of 2012.

For the same reasons as contained in the abovementioned order, dated 29.07.2015, in W.P.No.1426 of 2012 and batch, these Writ Petitions are also disposed of in terms thereof with the direction that the observations and directions contained therein shall form part of this order.

As a sequel to disposal of these Writ Petitions, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, in these writ petitions shall stand disposed of as infructuous.

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

12th August, 2015
GHN