

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

WRIT PETITION No.24763 of 2015

-

Between:

Smt. Puvvada Mallikamba and another

...Petitioners

and

State of Andhra Pradesh and others

...Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 07.08.2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

-

1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers
may be allowed to see the Judgments? Yes/No
2. Whether the copies of judgment
may be marked to Law Reporters/Journals? Yes/No
3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to
see the fair copy of the Judgment? Yes/No

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

WRIT PETITION No.24763 of 2015

ORDER:

Petitioner No.1 filed a representation before the Chief Minister for mutating her name in the revenue records in respect of land admeasuring Ac.0.23 cents in Survey No.81/1 situated at Krishnapuram Village, Pamidimukkala Mandal, Krishna District. The said representation was sent to respondent No.2, who in turn forwarded it to respondent No.4 for taking necessary action. There upon, respondent No.4 issued a notice to petitioner No.1 on 27.10.2014 to approach him, along with relevant records. Petitioner No.1 therefore, approached respondent No.4 and after examining the same, respondent No.4 passed an endorsement, dated 10.06.2015 informing her that since there are disputes between the family members, she must approach competent Court of law or if she has any authorized document to claim any right in respect of the land in question, she must make an application through M-Seva so that it can be verified. Without complying with either of the courses of action, the petitioners have approached this Court.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners.

In my view, the issue relating to correction of record of rights has to be addressed to the proper authorities and the Writ Petition under Article 226 cannot be entertained, as the impugned endorsement itself gives two options to petitioner No.1 either to approach competent Court of law or to file appropriate application under M-Seva. If the petitioners have claimed the land as above on the basis of authorized document, they are at liberty to adopt any one of the courses of action

as mentioned in the impugned endorsement.

There are no reasons to entertain this Writ Petition.

The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

Miscellaneous Petitions, if any pending in this Writ Petition shall stand closed.

VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR, J

7th AUGUST, 2015.

kvni