

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF
ANDHRA PRADESH**

WRIT PETITION No.25574 of 2015

Between:

Boopathi Raja. A, S/o. Alagu Raja,
Aged about 25 years, Occ: Owner of the vehicle,
R/o. 9/20E, Koilpatty, Aniyapurmanapparai,
Tirchy, Tamilnadu State.

.. Petitioner

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
(Home) Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad & 2 others

.. Respondents

AND

W.P.No.25599 of 2015

Between:

Saravana Kumar, S/o. Namasivayam,
Aged about 36 years,
Occ: Owner of the vehicle,
R/o. 4/34, Kudi Street,
Srinavisanallaur Thottaiyam TK,
Tirchy, Tamilnadu State.

.. Petitioner

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
(Home) Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad & 2 others

.. Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 14.08.2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

- | | | |
|---|--|----|
| 1 | Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgments? | No |
| 2 | Whether the copies of judgment may be marked to Law Reports/Journals | No |
| 3 | Whether His Lordship wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment? | No |

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

-

-

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION Nos.25574 & 25599 of 2015

-

COMMON ORDER:

-

The grievance of the petitioners in these writ petitions is that even though they have valid permits, their goods vehicles bearing Nos.TN 30 AT 9055 and TN 55 Z 7899 were seized by the Tahsildar, Kuppam Mandal, Chittoor District (2nd respondent) and so far, the custody of the vehicles was not given causing grave hardship to the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that even though complaints were lodged with the local police on 13.07.2015 alleging seizure of the vehicles, so far the vehicles are not produced in the concerned Court. Therefore, the petitioners cannot move any application before the concerned Court for release of the vehicles. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that in similar matters, this Court granted order for release of the vehicles subject to fulfillment of conditions as prescribed in G.O.Ms.No.95, Industries & Commerce (Mines-IV) Department, dated 28.08.2014, subject to production of ownership documents and also with an undertaking that the petitioners shall not create third party interest and shall produce the vehicles as and when required.

3. Having regard to the above, these writ petitions are disposed of, directing the petitioners to submit

representations to the Tahsildar, Kuppam Mandal, Chittoor District (2nd respondent), who seized their vehicles, for release of the vehicles. The said Officer shall, within three (3) days from the date of receipt of the representations, examine whether the vehicles were used in the commission of the offence as prohibited by G.O.Ms.No.95, Industries and Commerce (Mines-IV) Department, dated 28.08.2014, and the Officer concerned shall release the vehicles, by duly observing relevant provisions of Para 18 of the Orders and with such conditions as warranted, including execution of bond by the owner of the vehicles for its production as and when directed by the competent Court, to which such seizure was reported as a consequent to complaints lodged by the Officer, who seized the vehicles; deposit of amount; and not to create third party interest.

5. With the above observations, the Writ Petitions are disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in these writ petitions shall stand closed.

P.NAVEEN RAO, J

Date: 14th August, 2015

KL



HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

—

KL