

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH

* * *

WRIT PETITION No. 28341 of 2015

BETWEEN

B.Radhamma

... PETITIONER

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Revenue Department and others

...RESPONDENTS

Date of Order pronounced: 03.09.2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

-
-

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

- | | |
|--|--------|
| 1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers
may be allowed to see the Judgments? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether the copies of judgment may be
marked to Law Reporters/Journals? | Yes/No |
| 3. Whether his Lordship wish to see the
fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

-
-

ORDER:-

Heard.

2. Petitioner is aggrieved by the deletion of her name and inclusion of the fourth respondent's name in the revenue records in the pattadar column relating in survey No.439/A admeasuring Ac.12-25 guntas at Mankhal Village of Maheshwaram Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. Petitioner states that the change is effected only on 11.08.2015 without notice to her and that petitioner's application for grant of pattadar passbook for the very same land filed under

Form VI-A of the Rules framed under the A.P.Rights in Land and Pattadar Passbooks Act, 1971 is pending since 24.10.2014.

3. Even accepting the contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner as correct, petitioner has an effective remedy of preferring an appeal under the aforesaid Act and the error, if any, committed by the third respondent can always be corrected by the appellate authority apart from giving appropriate direction with regard to petitioner's application for grant of pattadar passbook.

4. In view of the said remedy, I do not see any reason to entertain the writ petition.

With the liberty aforesaid to approach the appellate authority, writ petition is dismissed. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

September 3, 2015

LMV