

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH

* * *

WRIT PETITION No. 34646 of 2015

BETWEEN

A.Narasimhamurthy and another

... PETITIONER

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary and others

...RESPONDENTS

Date of Order pronounced: 26.10.2015

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

- | | |
|--|--------|
| 1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers
may be allowed to see the Judgments? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether the copies of judgment may be
marked to Law Reporters/Journals? | Yes/No |
| 3. Whether his Lordship wish to see the
fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

-

-

ORDER:-

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned government
pleader for Revenue.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is similar to the one considered by this
court in W.P.No.17809 of 2015 and batch dated 22.06.2015.

In the present case also, petitioner claims to be owner of the land, in question, and stated that there is no Governmental interest involved.

3. Following the order in W.P.No.17809 of 2015, this writ petition is disposed of with the similar directions as extracted hereunder:

“Writ petition is disposed of directing the registering authorities concerned to receive and process the documents presented by the petitioner without insisting upon the no objection certificates to be obtained by them. The registering authorities concerned is further directed to receive and process the document in accordance with the Registration Act, 1908 and the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 and if the document is in conformity with the provisions, thereafter register and release the documents in accordance with the due procedure. It is also made clear that in the event of registering authority not being satisfied with the compliance under the Registration Act or the Stamp Act, appropriate refusal endorsement together with reasons shall be recorded and communicated to the parties in terms of Section 71 of the Registration Act.”

As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

October 26, 2015
LMV