

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH**

* * * *

WRIT PETITION No.20343 OF 2011

—

—

Between:

Yerramala Anjaneyulu

.. Petitioner

And

The Superintendent of Police,
Nalgonda, Nalgonda District, and others.

.. Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 03-08-2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

- | | |
|---|--------|
| 1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers
may be allowed to see the Judgment? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether the copies of judgment may be
marked to Law Reporters/Journals | Yes/No |
| 3. Whether Their Lordship wish to
see the fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

WRIT PETITION No.20343 of 2011

ORDER:

—

The grievance of the petitioner in this case was that the police authorities were not taking action upon the letter dated 21.05.2011 addressed by the Deputy Executive Engineer, Roads and Buildings,

Sub-Division, Khammam, the 5th respondent, for removal of the Anjaneya Swamy idol erected in the road boundary of the Khammam-Bonakal road at Km.13/4-6.

Relying on the written instructions received from the Sub-Inspector of Police, Chinthakani Police Station, Khammam District, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home informed this Court that the villagers of Jagannadhapuram established the Anjaneya Swamy idol by the side of Khammam-Bonakal road during the night with the intention of constructing a temple. But, as there were complaints against the same, including one from the petitioner, the Roads and Building Department also inspected the site and found that the idol had been established on the road margin. The Roads and Buildings Department therefore requested the police to arrange to take action in the matter. The Sub-Inspector of Police further stated that the idol was taken away from the disputed site and recently a temple was constructed in some other undisputed land where the idol was installed and that the disputed place is now vacant.

In the light of the afore-stated developments, the cause in this writ petition does not survive.

The writ petition is therefore dismissed.

Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall also stand dismissed. No order as to costs.

JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

3rd August, 2015

IBL