

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH**

-
* * *

-
WRIT PETITION No.22919 OF 2015
-

-
Between:

Smt. V. Vijitha Reddy .. Petitioner

And

The State of Telangana, rep., by its
Principal Secretary to General
Administration Department (GAD),
Secretariat, Hyderabad and others .. Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 24-07-2015

-
SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A. V. SESA SAI

-
1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers _____ Yes/No
_____ may be allowed to see the Judgment?

-
2. Whether the copies of judgment may be _____ Yes/No
_____ marked to Law Reporters/Journals

-
3. Whether Their Lordship wish to _____ Yes/No
_____ see the fair copy of the Judgment?

-
-
-
-
-

-
-
-
-
-
-

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A. V. SESA SAI

WRIT PETITION No.22919 OF 2015

ORDER:

Heard Sri N. Mukund Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Government Pleader for General Administration Department appearing for respondents 1 and 3 and learned Government Pleader for Home appearing for respondents 2 and 4.

The case of the petitioner is that when her husband was supervising the agricultural operations at Gittegudem, H/o. Thatikonda Village, Ghanpur Mandal, Warangal District, the Peoples war Group Naxalites killed him on 27.12.1998 and the Police, Ghanpur, registered F.I.R.No.149 of 1998 on 28.12.1998. It is further stated that the State Government issued G.O.Ms.No.50, General Administration (SC.A) Department, dated 21.02.2014, fixing the financial assistance at Rs.5,00,000/- to the family members of those, who lost their lives in the extremist violence. The petitioner herein made a representation, dated 13.04.2015, to the District Collector, Warangal, and the Superintendent of Police, Warangal, respondents 3 and 4 herein, requesting them to extend the benefit under G.O.Ms.No.50, dated 21.02.2014. The grievance of the petitioner in the present Writ Petition is that no action has been taken on the said representation by the respondent authorities.

Taking into consideration the nature of controversy, this Court is of the considered opinion that ends of justice would be met, if a direction is given to respondent No.3 to take appropriate action on the representation, dated 13.04.2015, said to have been submitted by the

petitioner herein.

For the aforesaid reasons, the Writ Petition is disposed of, directing respondent No.3 to consider the representation, dated 13.04.2015, said to have been submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

The Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this Writ Petition shall stand closed.

A. V. SESA SAI, J

24.07.2015

KH