

HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE B. SIVA SANKARA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION No.10192 of 2015

-

ORDER :

This criminal petition is filed by the **petitioner/A.4** under Section 482 Cr.P.C seeking to quash the proceedings in **Crime No.215 of 2015 of Central Crime Station P.S., Hyderabad, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 468 and 471 IPC.**

2. Heard the learned counsel for the **petitioner** and the **1st** respondent-State represented by the Public Prosecutor, before admission and before ordering any notice to the **2nd** respondent and perused the material on record.

3. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the F.I.R. no way shows any complicity or involvement of the petitioner/A.4 and it is only on the so-called alleged disclosure statement of A.1 referred in the remand report and it is false implication by roping him under the guise of the inadmissibility disclosure, which is hit by Section 55 of the Indian Evidence Act, not only against the petitioner but also against anybody to make use.

4. In fact, the matter requires investigation and the disclosure irrespective of admissibility during the trial as evidence can be helpful for further investigation including for interrogation of the petitioner, there from the petitioner's complicity as part of the investigation. Hence, there are no grounds to admit the petition to quash the F.I.R. proceedings and from the investigation disclosed the complicity of the petitioner requires further investigation, but for to say the factual matrix, the **petitioner** is entitled to the concession of bail.

5. Accordingly, this criminal petition is disposed of giving liberty to the **petitioner/A.4** to surrender before the learned Magistrate concerned and move for regular bail with notice to the A.P.P. concerned and in

such an event and after hearing the Assistant Public Prosecutor concerned, the learned Magistrate shall grant bail on the same day with necessary conditions including the requirement of his personal appearance before the police for interrogation. Further remedies are left open to the **petitioner** after police filing final report and any cognizance taken by the learned Magistrate.

6. Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr. B. SIVA SANKARA RAO, J

7th October 2015.

mar