

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

* * * *

WRIT PETITION No.14727 of 2015

Between:
Ch.Suresh.

....Petitioner

and

The State of Telangana,
Rep.by its Principal Secretary,
Co-operative Societies Department,
Telangana Secretariat, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad, and others.

...Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 07.08.2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAMALINGESWARA RAO

- | | | |
|----|--|--------|
| 1. | Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgments? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the copies of judgment may be Marked to Law Reporters/Journals? | Yes/No |
| 3. | Whether His Lordship wishes to see the fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAMALINGESWARA RAO

-

WRIT PETITION No.14727 of 2015

ORDER:

The petitioner obtained loan from the Trinity Cooperative Urban Bank Limited. For recovery of the outstanding amount, arbitration proceedings were initiated and ultimately an award was passed on 30.08.2012. Against the said award, there is a remedy of appeal and it is not clear from the averments in the writ affidavit whether the petitioner availed the said remedy. However, the fourth respondent filed

E.P.No.02/TNCUB/2013, and when the notice, dated 15.04.2015, under Rule 52(6) of the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Rules was issued, the present Writ Petition is filed.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner disputes the taking of loan of Rs.5.00 lakhs on 15.05.2000, as alleged by the bank. He further submits that he is getting a salary of Rs.15,412/- and the salary of the petitioner cannot be attached.

When the petitioner did not challenge the award passed by the arbitrator on 30.08.2012, he cannot challenge the consequential proceedings issued by the second respondent dated 15.04.2015.

In the circumstances, this Court finds no reason to entertain the present Writ Petition, and the same is, accordingly, dismissed at the admission stage. The miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

(A.RAMALINGESWARA RAO, J)

07.08.2015
vs