

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT
HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE
OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

WRIT PETITION No.19928 of 2000

-

Between :

M. Vijay Kumar S/o.Krishna Moorthy

... Petitioner

AND

The Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep. by Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare Department, Hyderabad,
and four others.

... Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 06.08.2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE S. RAVI KUMAR

- | | | |
|----|--|--------|
| 1. | Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgments? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the copies of judgment may be marked to Law Reporters/Journals? | Yes/No |
| 3. | Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S. RAVI KUMAR

WRIT PETITION No.19928 of 2000

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a direction in the nature of writ of mandamus to direct the 1st and 2nd respondents to prescribe the principles of length of service between Assistant Executive Engineers for promotion as a Deputy Executive Engineers in the recruitment rules governing the post of Deputy Executive Engineer entitled Andhra Pradesh Scheduled Caste Finance Corporation and District Scheduled Caste Service Cooperative Societies Engineering Service Rules by holding the decision of 3rd respondent contained in R.C.No.28315/2000N3, dated 29.07.2000 and consequential decision of the 2nd respondent contained in Lr.No.2675/APSC/2000 dated 21.08.2000 as wholly illegal, without power or jurisdiction and unconstitutional to the extent of prescribing a ratio of 1:1 instead of length of service between Assistant Executive Engineers and Assistant Engineers for promotion as Deputy Executive Engineer in the above service rules.

2. When the matter is listed on 04.08.2015, there was no representation. Therefore, it is directed to be posted to this day under the caption 'for dismissal'. In spite of that, no interest is evinced in prosecuting the case.

3. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

4. Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

S. RAVI KUMAR, J

6th August 2015.

mar