

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.12558 of 2011

-

10.06.2015

Between:

Y.Nageswara Rao

...Petitioner

And

The District Registrar, Guntur and another

...Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner: Mr.Y.Subrahmanyam

Counsel for respondent No.1 : Government Pleader
for Cooperation (AP)

Counsel for respondent No.2: Dr.P.B.Vijay Kumar,
standing counsel for Banks

-

The Court made the following:

-

-

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed for the following substantive relief:

“.....to issue any writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus directing the 1st respondent DCO to comply with byelaw No.25 i.e., to convene the meeting of the General Body of the 2nd respondent Cooperative Urban Bank only with members who are eligible to vote under by law No.21 in order to set in motion the election for the 2nd respondent Guntur Cooperative Urban Bank, Guntur and to pass such other order or orders....”

At the hearing, Mr.Y.Subrahmanyam, learned counsel for the petitioner, submitted that the cause in the writ petition does not survive for adjudication and that the writ petition has become infructuous.

Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous.

As a sequel to dismissal of the writ petition, W.P.M.P.No.15313 of 2011 filed by the petitioner for interim relief and W.P.M.P.No.18209 of 2011 filed for impleading the applicant shall stand dismissed as infructuous.

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

10th June, 2015

GHN