

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T.SUNIL CHOWDARY

CRIMINAL PETITION No.13295 of 2015

ORDER:

This petition is filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to quash the proceedings against the petitioners/A.1 to A.4 in Crime No.379 of 2015 on the file of the Station House Officer, II Town Police Station, Vijayawada registered for the offences under Sections 384, 420, 323 and 506 read with 34 I.P.C.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Public Prosecutor representing the State.

3. A perusal of the record reveals that the petitioners are accused Nos.1 to 4 and the second respondent is the *de facto* complainant in Crime No.379 of 2015.

4. As per the allegations made in the complaint, petitioner No.1 had forcibly obtained a promissory note from the second respondent for an amount of Rs.3,00,000/- and filed a suit for recovery of the amount. It is further alleged that petitioner No.1 used to obtain the signatures on the promissory notes and filed suits against the members of the chit. It is also alleged that the petitioners have beat the second respondent and threatened her with dire consequences.

5. A perusal of the record reveals that the second respondent is a relative of the petitioners. Whether the petitioners have committed the alleged offences or not will come to light during the course of investigation only.

6. While exercising the inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the Court has to take into consideration the allegations made in the complaint only. The Court is not justified in embarking upon an enquiry as to probability, reliability or genuineness of the allegations

made in the complaint at the initial stage of investigation. The material placed before the Court is *prima facie* sufficient to investigate into the matter in order to ascertain the truthfulness or otherwise of the allegations made in the complaint.

7. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also the principles enunciated by the Supreme Court in **R.P.Kapoor v. State of Punjab**^[1] and **State of Haryana v. Bhajanlal**^[2], I am of the considered view that it is not a fit case to quash the proceedings at the initial stage of the investigation.

8. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the concerned Station House Officer may be directed not to arrest the petitioners till completion of the investigation.

9. Having regard to the nature of the allegations made against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to direct the Station House Officer, II Town Police Station, Vijayawada, not to arrest the petitioners/A.1 to A.4 in Crime No.379 of 2015 till completion of the investigation.

10. With the above direction, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

11. Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this Criminal Petition shall stand closed.

-

T.SUNIL CHOWDARY, J

Date: 15.12.2015

lvd

^[1] AIR 1960 SC 866

^[2] AIR 1992 SC 604