

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

-

-

W.P. NOs. 781, 807, 924, 948, 1049 and 1051 of 2015

-

Date of Judgment: 27.1.2015

Between:

M/s. Sitaram Spinners (P) Ltd and others

...Petitioners

And

The Southern Power Distribution Company Ltd of
Telangana

State and others

..Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

-

-

W.P. NOs. 781, 807, 924, 948, 1049 and 1051 of 2015

-

-

COMMON ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioners in all these writ petitions are HT consumers who question the demand notices issued to them by the respondents 1 to 3 primarily on the ground that they are share holders of 4th respondent which generates power and supplies to them and in that

capacity, the petitioners industries received power from the 4th respondent, as such the power so received and consumed by the respective writ petitioners from the 4th respondent is required to be given credit to, while demanding the consumption charges from them by the respondents 1 to 3.

Mr. O. Manohar Reddy, learned standing counsel appearing for the respondents 1 to 3 submits, on the basis of instructions from the Superintending Engineer (Comml.), TSPCC/TSTRANSCO, Vidyut Soudha, Hyderabad – 500 082, dated 7.1.2015, that the first respondent has received details of breakup figures of allocations with regard to the power generated by the 4th respondent and supplied to its share holders and based on the figures now available, the respondents 1 to 3 proposed to take those shares into consideration and revise the impugned proceedings accordingly. Since the impugned proceedings are being revised by the respondents 1 to 3 themselves and they proposed to issue appropriate revised demand notices to the respective writ petitioners, no further orders are necessary in these writ petitions. However, the writ petitioners as well as 4th respondent are at liberty to question the revised demand notices in accordance with law if they feel

aggrieved of the same.

All these writ petitions are accordingly disposed of.
Miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. No
order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

Dt. 27.1.2015
KR