

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C. PRAVEEN KUMAR

WRIT PETITION No. 13015 of 2012

ORDER:

-

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Government Pleader for Revenue. With the consent of both the parties, the main writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage itself.

The present writ petition came to be filed seeking issuance of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not permitting the petitioner to pay the amount of compensation for the scheduled land allotted to him in Sy.No.54 to an extent of Ac.2.00 cents of Nagireddypally Village, Maheswaram Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional; and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioner over the said land.

The petitioner claims that he was allotted land admeasuring Ac.2.00 in Sy.No.54 of Nagireddypalli Village, Maheswaram Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, by the Government and to prove the same he has filed a copy of the proceedings No.B/427/1990 issued by the Mandal Revenue Officer, Maheswaram, described as transfer certificate of surplus land under Rule 10 and Section 14 of the Andhra Pradesh Land Records (Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings) Act, 1973. The petitioner, however, states that as he is not aware of the requirement to pay installments, he could not pay the same. The grievance of the petitioner is that the third respondent is trying to interfere with his possession, though he was granted pattadar pass book and title deed. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner may be permitted to approach the revenue authorities to pay the outstanding amount against the said patta.

Learned Government Pleader on instructions submits that there is neither any move nor any attempt on the part of the respondents to dispossess the petitioner from the said land and that the writ petition is filed only on an apprehension, which is unfounded.

Without going into the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of giving liberty to the petitioner to approach the revenue authorities for payment of the outstanding amount, in which event the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

Consequently, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

JUSTICE C. PRAVEEN KUMAR

27.10.2015

vhb