

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIFTEEN

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

WRIT PETITION No.6884 of 2015

BETWEEN

Seethala Madhusudhan Rao

... PETITIONER

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary (Department of Home),
Secretariat Building, Hyderabad and others.

...RESPONDENTS

The Court made the following:

-

-

ORDER:

Heard.

2. Petitioner complains that respondent Nos.4 and 5 are calling him repeatedly though there is no complaint registered against him. He also states that there was a matrimonial dispute between the second son of petitioner and the daughter of respondent No.6, which was, however, settled by a decree of divorce granted by the American Courts on 25.07.2014 and at the time of dissolution of marriage, all the disputes were permanently settled between the son of the petitioner and his wife. The present writ petition came to be filed on these allegations.

3. Instructions of the learned government pleader received from respondent No.5, however, state that, as of now, the respondent police did not receive any complaint from anyone else. Hence, interfering with the matrimonial dispute does not arise and the allegations in the petition are, therefore, denied.

4. Obviously, it is clear from the instructions supra that there is no complaint pending or registered with respondent No.5-police station and no action is taken by respondent No.5 against the petitioner. Hence, as on today, the cause of action in the writ petition does not survive.

Writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

March 31, 2015

LMV