

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE ANIS

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO.1116 OF 2015

IN/AND CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO.1345 OF 2007

COMMON ORDER:

Both parties filed a joint memo to compound the offence.

Heard both sides.

The *de facto* complainant by name Narra Sudha Rani and the accused by name Narra Sri Ram are present and identified by their counsel and the contents of the compromise petition are read over to them. Both parties agreed for compromise.

In view of the petition filed for compounding the offence and having regard to the submissions of both the learned counsel, compromise is recorded in terms of accompanying compromise petition.

Accordingly, CrI.R.C.M.P.No.1116 of 2015 is ordered by compounding the offence. Consequently, the CrI.R.C. filed questioning the judgment dated 26.09.2007 passed in Criminal Appeal No.44 of 2007 on the file of the Court of Sessions, Mahila Court, Vijayawada, confirming the conviction and sentence dated 20.01.2007 passed in C.C.No.1177 of 2003 on the file of the II Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada, is allowed and the revision petitioner/accused is acquitted for the offence punishable under Section 498-A IPC.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

(ANIS, J)

28th April 2015

RRB