

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIFTEEN

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

WRIT PETITION No.12033 of 2015

BETWEEN

Tadipatri Engineering College.

... PETITIONER

AND

State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Department of Technical Education, Secretariat Building, Hyderabad and others.

...RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR. S. SRI RAM

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR TECHNICAL EDUCATION

MR. V.KISHORE

MR. A. ABHISHEK REDDY

The Court made the following:

-

-

ORDER:

Heard.

2. The issue involved in this writ petition is identical to the one considered by this court in W.P.No.33341 of 2014 and batch dated 07.11.2014. In the present writ petition, the petitioner-institution questions the order of the Convener rejecting the admission of one student, mentioned in the prayer, under lateral entry category in

B. Tech II year course on the ground that he belongs to other state.

3. Since the rejection on that ground was held to be not sustainable, this writ petition also be governed by the identical order as in the batch aforesaid.

4. Following the order in W.P.No.33341 of 2014 and batch dated 07.11.2014, this writ petition is also disposed of. Operative portion whereof, is as follows:

"In view of the same, these writ petitions are allowed in terms of W.P.No.32797 of 2014 and batch, dated 31.10.2014, which are as follows:

"The impugned proceedings so far as they relate to rejection of candidature of students admitted by the petitioners respectively only on the ground that they belong to other states shall stand set aside subject to the condition that the admitted students are eligible for admission and qualified for that. The Convenor shall, therefore, re-examine the matter and pass appropriate orders expeditiously preferably within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, in the light of directions of this Court, referred

to above.”

As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

April 29, 2015

DSK