

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE U. DURGA PRASAD RAO

**Crl.P.M.P.No.1034 of 2015 in Crl.P.No.7152 of 2013 &
Criminal Petition No.7152 of 2013**

and

**Crl.P.M.P.No.981 of 2015 in Crl.P.No.913 of 2015 &
Criminal Petition No.913 of 2015**

COMMON ORDER:

Defacto complainant and her counsel Sri V.S.R.Anjaneyulu are present. Accused No.1 (Petitioner in Crl.P.No.913 of 2015), Accused Nos.2 and 3 (Petitioners in Crl.P.No.7152 of 2013) and their counsel Sri T.Pradyumna Kumar Reddy are present.

2) On the report given by *defacto* complainant the police of I Town PS, Kakinada registered Cr.No.106 of 2009 against the accused for the offences under Sections 498A IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act and learned III Additional Judicial First Class Magistrate, Kakinada took cognizance of the same and registered as C.C.No.162 of 2009.

3) While so, now the parties submitted that at the intervention of elders they have amicably settled the disputes and *defacto* complainant and A1 obtained decree of divorce in CMA No.957 of 2012 on the file of this High Court and maintenance claim of *defacto* complainant was also taken care of and she was given Rs.14 lakhs by way of demand draft during the compromise in CMA No.957 of 2012 and in view of the same, *defacto* complainant has no objection for compounding the present case and therefore, parties may be permitted to compromise the

offence and the proceedings may be quashed in the interests of justice.

Having regard to the above submission of the parties and considering the fact that it is a matrimonial matter wherein the parties have amicably settled the disputes, as stated supra, and no useful purpose will be served if they are driven to trial, and following the decision reported in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another*** (2012) 10 SCC 303) this petition is allowed and permission is accorded to compound the case and compromise is recorded in terms of joint memo filed by parties and consequently the proceedings in C.C.No.162 of 2009 on the file of III Additional Judicial First Class Magistrate, Kakinada are hereby quashed.

In the result, the petitions are accordingly allowed.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

U. DURGA PRASAD RAO, J

Date: 26.02.2015

Murthy