

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT
HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE
OF ANDHRA PRADESH

-

W.P.No. 28402 of 2015

Between:

Arjampudi Suresh Kumar

... Petitioner

and

State of Andhra Pradesh and others

-

... Respondent/s

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 28.9.2015

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

- | | | |
|---|---|-----------|
| 1 | <u>Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgments?</u> | <u>No</u> |
| - | | |
| 2 | <u>Whether the copies of judgment may be marked to Law Reports/Journals</u> | <u>No</u> |
| - | | |
| 3 | <u>Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment?</u> | <u>No</u> |

-

-

-

-
-
-
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

-
-
W.P. NO. 28402 of 2015

-
ORDER:

Heard both sides.

The petitioner intends to register a development agreement-cum-power of attorney presented before the 3rd respondent on 1.6.2015, but the 3rd respondent kept the document pending without registering it or refusing to register it. With the aforesaid grievance, this writ petition is filed.

Learned Government Pleader for Revenue, on instructions from 3rd respondent, submits that names of property are not matching with the web land and hence the petitioner was requested to file an affidavit about the declaration of the property and if such an affidavit is produced, the 3rd respondent has no objection to register and release the document.

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that after notice was issued by this Court in the present writ

petition, the 3rd respondent called the petitioner and required him to file an affidavit which was accordingly complied with.

In view of that, therefore, the 3rd respondent shall take all necessary steps, process and register the development agreement-cum-Power of Attorney presented by the petitioner and if for any reason the 3rd respondent is not satisfied for registration of the document, he shall pass a reasoned order under Section 71 of the Registration Act, but shall not keep the document pending without passing any order. The 3rd respondent shall take appropriate action in accordance with law immediately after receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. Miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. No order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

Dt.28.9.2015
KR

